DDO-Free XQuery

Hiroyuki Kato¹, Yasunori Ishihara² and Torsten Grust³

¹National Institute of Informatics
²Osaka University
³Universität Tübingen

The 16th International Symposium on Database Programming Languages (*DBPL*2017)

September 1, 2017, Munich, Germany





DDO (Distinct Document Order)

"heavily-ordered"

- A prominent feature in XML processing using XQuery
 - \checkmark reflecting the models of ordered trees
 - ✓ the document order: a total order defined over all nodes in the tree, determined by a preorder traversal

 $e/\alpha::\tau = d$ istinct-doc-order(for \$fs:dot in *e* return $\alpha::\tau$)

- It is potentially costly $e/\alpha_1::\tau_1/.../\alpha_K::\tau_K$ $= ddo(for \fis:dot in \ddo(...) return \\alpha_K::\tau_K)$
- There are studies on avoiding the need for DDO operations. [SIGMOD02a], [SIGMOD02b], [SIGMOD03], [DBPL03], [DEXA05], [MSCS15], ...

DDO-free XQuery

 $e/\alpha::\tau =$ **for** \$fs:dot **in** e **return** $\alpha::\tau$

Syntactic restriction is needed.

A single-node child-traversal expression $v/child::\tau$ is DDO-free

for \$*v* **in** doc("foo.xml")/r/a **return** (<u>\$*v*/b</u>, <u>\$*v*/c</u>)

navigating to child nodes from a single node does not require DDO when XML documents are stored in a serialized (preorder-based) fashion. Many XQuery engines such as BaseX, MonetDB/XQuery, DB2/pureXML adopt this.

Most theoretical work on XQuery [TODS06], [ICFP15], [ESOP15] are based on this syntactic restriction.

Twig Query with DDO

Twig queries: one of the typical use cases of XQuery Extracting subtrees that satisfy tree patterns

Twig queries with DDO are the norm and not the exception.



[An example] Analyzing a log file in XML format using a twig query Extracting nodes that satisfying a tree pattern and are sorted in DDO

[A running example]
ddo(for \$b in doc("foo.xml")/a/b return
for \$a in \$b/.. return (\$b, \$a)/c)

|--|

MacBookPro:DBPL-experiment katouhiroyuki\$ java -The Problem core/target/classes org.basex.BaseX -zXV DBPL-1 distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order() took 2.38E-4 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal distinct-doc-order() took 0.265515 ms Naive evaluation of ddo(e) requires distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order() took 1.57E-4 ms multiple application of ddo distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal => may lead to significant sorting distinct-doc-order() took 0.130738 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order() took 2.0E-4 ms overhead distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal distinct-doc-order() took 0.112579 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order() took 2.01E-4 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal [A running example] distinct-doc-order() took 0.120164 ms ct-doc-order() for node sequence of siz ddo(for \$b in doc("foo.xml")/a/b return :t-doc-order() took 2.27E-4 ms :t-doc-order() for node sequence of siz ct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal for $a in \frac{b}{...} return (\frac{b}{...})/c$:t-doc-order() took 0.118553 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order() took 1.86E-4 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal distinct-doc-order() took 0.132188 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size DDO-free e'distinct-doc-order() took 2.11E-4 ms distinct-doc-order() for node sequence of size distinct-doc-order(): after duplicate removal distinct-doc-order() took 0.119015 ms

What we have done

An XQuery transformation to evaluate twig queries with DDO efficiently.

[Input]

- a schema information (nested-relational DTD) and
- a twig query e

[Output]

a transformed XQuery e' such that e'=ddo(e) and e' is DDO-free.

The input XQuery form does not include element constructors because we focus on twig queries, which extract subtrees that satisfy given tree patterns described in XQuery

Basic Idea

Generate-and-Test approach

- (G): Prepare a DDO-free skeleton query *s*, which has the ability to *generate all nodes in DDO* for any XML document that conforms to the schema.
- (T): Formulate a *s*[*cond*] by injecting node test conditions *cond* extracted from the input query *e*.

s[*cond*] is DDO-free

(1) How to prepare the skeleton query s.

(2) How to extract appropriate conditions from the input query.

(3) How to inject those conditions into the skeleton query.

Our Solution

- (1) How to prepare a DDO-free skeleton query s.
 - \checkmark can be derived for a given nested-relational DTD.
- (2) How to extract appropriate conditions from the input query
 - ✓ the input query *e* can be transformed into *e*' which has a structure *similar* to that of the skeleton query *s* to reveal the conditions.
 - ✓ this transformation preserves equivalence up to DDO, ddo(e)=ddo(e')
- (3) How to inject those conditions into the skeleton query
 - ✓ Since *e*'has a structure *similar* to that of *s*, *s*[*cond*] can be obtained in a systematic way.

s[*cond*] is DDO-free and equivalent to the input query *e* up to DDO

cond is extracted from e', which is equivalent to e up to DDO

Nested-relational DTD

Definition 5 (Nested-relational DTD (NRDTD)). A DTD $D = (\Sigma, l_0, \mu)$ is an NRDTD if D is non-recursive, and $\mu(l)$ is a sequence (r_1, \ldots, r_N) such that each r_i has the form l_i, l_i^*, l_i^+ , or l_i ?, and all l_i s are distinct labels.

an example:
$$D_1 = (\Sigma_1, a, \mu_1)$$

 $\Sigma_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$
 $\mu_1(a) = (b^*, c^+)$
 $\mu_1(c) = d?$
 $\mu_1(b) = \mu_1(d) = ()$

$$\begin{array}{c} \$ R \\ \bullet \end{array} \\ b \\ b \\ c \\ c \\ d \\ d \end{array}$$

The height of trees are fixed

Node order can be distinguished by using the single-node child-traversal expression

for \$*a* **in** \$*R*/a **return** (\$*a*/b, \$*a*/c)

 μ_1 '(a)=(b*, c+, b)

Skeleton Query Construction

The design policy

- A skeleton query is a DDO-free expression (use single-node child traversal),
- the query encodes the schema that the input document adhere, and
- stub if-conditionals are placed in appropriate positions to control whether an element is produced or not.

An empty sequence () represents **false**. $D_1 = (\Sigma_1, a, \mu_1)$ Any non-empty sequence represents true. $\Sigma_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ for \$*a* in \$*R*/a return $\mu_1(a) = (b^*, c^+)$ (if () then a else (), for b in a/b return (if () then b else ()), $\mu_1(c) = d?$ for c in a/c return $\mu_1(b) = \mu_1(d) = ()$ (if () then \$*c* else (), for d in c/d return (if () then d else ())) The skeleton query returns all nodes in DDO if the conditions are replaced with true. b

Our Solution

- (1) How to prepare a DDO-free skeleton query s.
 - \checkmark can be derived for a given nested-relational DTD.
- (2) How to extract appropriate conditions from the input query
 - ✓ the input query *e* can be transformed into *e*' which has a structure similar to that of the skeleton query *s* to reveal the conditions.
 - ✓ this transformation *preserve equivalence up to DDO*, ddo(*e*)=ddo(*e*')
- (3) How to inject those conditions into the skeleton query
 - ✓ Since e'has a structure similar to that of s, s[cond] can be obtained in a systematic way.

s[*cond*] is DDO-free and equivalent to the input query *e* up to DDO

cond is extracted from e', which is equivalent to e up to DDO

Structural Features of Skeleton Query

(s1) If a node is output it has been previously bound to an output variable,
(s2) all occurrences of **for** are consecutive-child-axis **for**-expressions, and
(s3) a (stub) if-conditional is located in the **return** part of each **for**.

if () **then \$***v* **else** ()

An output variable is bound to nodes to be output.

A consecutive-child-axis for-expression is a nested for-expression in which the **in** part is a step expression ($\frac{v}{child}$:: τ) and v is defined in the innermost outer for.



```
for $a in $R/a return
  (if () then $a else (),
   for $b in $a/b return (if () then $b else ()),
   for $c in $a/c return
     (if () then $c else (),
     for $d in $c/d return (if () then $d else ())))
```

The Target Form of the Transformed exp.

A sequence expression (e_1, \ldots, e_K) , in which e_i is a **for**-expression or R

It has the following structural features when e_i is a **for**-expression; (t1) If a node is output it has been previously bound to an output variable, (t2) all occurrences of **for** are consecutive-child-axis **for**-expressions, and (t3) if-conditionals that appear in the innermost **return** part of a **for**.

if *cond* then **\$v** else ()

Structural features of the skeleton query (s1) If a node is output it has been previously bound to an output variable, (s2) all occurrences of **for** are consecutive-child-axis **for**-expressions, and (s3) a (stub) if-conditional is located in the **return** part of each **for**. **if** () **then** v else ()





	BaseX 8.4		Saxon-HE 9.7.0.18J	
doc. size n	original	DDO-free	original	DDO-free
1	1.78	1.06	0.56	1.02
10	7.03	2.17	2.69	3.12
100	40.43	5.30	10.70	6.05
1000	454.20	17.44	287.67	13.53
10000	OOM	30.69	79646.54	62.15
100000	OOM	72.80	OOM	217.07
1000000	OOM	404.79	OOM	1531.95



Wall-clock times (msec) OOM: out of memory Intel Core i7 3.3GHz, 16GB RAM Both engines are implemented in Java Heap size of JVM: 4GB

Conclusion and Future Work

For a given NRDTD and an XQuery *e* for an XML document that conforms to the DTD, *e* can be transformed into *e*' such that e'=ddo(e) and *e*' is DDO-free.

- Any XQuery engines can utilize this results.
- May have some benefits in unordered mode.

Future work:

- A real world practical performance measurement.
- Relaxing the restriction on schemas and input syntax.

Our input syntax

$$e ::= \$v | (e, e, ..., e) | () | e/\alpha ::\tau | \text{ for } \$v \text{ in } e \text{ return } e \\ | \text{ if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } ()$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \alpha & ::= & child \mid parent \mid self \mid descendant \mid ancestor \\ & \mid & descendant-or-self (dos) \mid ancestor-or-self (aos) \\ \tau & ::= & label \mid * \end{array}$